Document 1

Filed 08/13/2008

Page 1 of 36

Case 3:08-cv-01473-<u>BEN</u>

LA1 6718076.1

### I

## 

## 

## 

### 

## 

### 

### 

### 

## 

### 

### 

## 

## 

### 

### 

## 

### 

## 

### TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL

- 1. On June 25, 2008, Plaintiff Marco Antonio Vazquez ("Plaintiff") filed a Complaint against Defendant Allied Waste Systems, Inc. dba Allied Waste Services of Chula Vista (erroneously named "Allied Waste Services of Chula Vista"), and DOES 1 through 20 in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Diego, Case No. 37-2008-00071315-CU-OE-SC ("Complaint").
- 2. Defendant first received the Summons and Complaint when it was served on July 15, 2008. A true and correct copy of the Summons and the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 3. This Notice of Removal is timely as it is filed within thirty (30) days of the receipt by a defendant of a copy of the Summons and Complaint in this matter. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

# FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION BASED ON SECTION 301 PREEMPTION

- 4. Federal question jurisdiction arises out of the fact that Plaintiff's claims are preempted by Section 301 of the Labor Management Relations Act ("Section 301"). 29 U.S.C. § 185. Section 301 provides that: "[s]uits for violation of contracts between an employer and a labor organization representing employees in an industry affecting commerce . . . may be brought in any district court of the United States having jurisdiction of the parties without regard to the amount in controversy or without regard to the citizenship of the parties." *Firestone v. Southern California Gas Co.*, 219 F.3d 1063, 1065 (9th Cir. 2000), *reh'g denied* 281 F.3d 801 (9th Cir. 2002).
- 5. Further, all state law claims raised by a union-represented employee that require interpretation of a collective bargaining agreement must be brought pursuant to Section 301. Allis-Chalmers Corp. v. Lueck, 471 U.S. 202, 211 (1985). "The preemptive force of section 301 is so powerful that it displaces entirely any state cause of action for violation of a collective bargaining agreement . . . and any state claim whose outcome depends on analysis of the terms

l

8

9

11

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

of the agreement." Newberry v. Pacific Racing Ass'n, 854 F.2d 1142, 1146 (9th Cir. 1988); see also Voorhees v. Naper Aero Club, Inc., 272 F.3d 398, 403 (7th Cir. 2001) (noting that Section 301 is one of "only two areas in which the Supreme Court has found that Congress intended completely to replace state law with federal law for purposes of federal jurisdiction.").

- Section 301 has specifically been held to preempt California state law claims that 6. are substantially dependent upon interpretation of a CBA. Firestone, 219 F.3d at 1066-67. This is so even where the interpretation was required to evaluate the employer's defense to a plaintiffs' state law causes of action. See Audette v. International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union, 195 F.3d 1107, 1113 (9th Cir. 1999) (civil rights claim preempted where interpretation of agreement was required in evaluating employer's defense that it had a legitimate non-business reason for its actions).
- As a member of Building Material, Construction, Industrial, Professional and 7. Technical Teamsters, Local No. 36, affiliated with The International Brotherhood of Teamsters ("Union"), Plaintiff's employment was governed by the CBA between Defendant and the Union. The CBA governs issues relating to discharge, scheduling, and grievances, among other things. Specifically, the CBA provides that Defendant may discharge employee members of the Union for "sufficient and proper cause." Therefore, resolution of the propriety of Plaintiff's claims of wrongful discharge necessarily demands interpretation of the CBA.
- 8. The fact that Plaintiff avoided referencing his CBA in the Complaint does not preclude removal on the basis of Section 301 preemption when the resolution of the Plaintiff's claims turns on interpretation of provisions in the CBA. See Audette v. International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union, 195 F.3d 1107, 1113 (9th Cir. 1999) (civil rights claim preempted where interpretation of agreement was required in evaluating employer's defense that it had a legitimate non-business reason for its actions); Young v. Anthony's Fish Grottos, Inc., 830 F.2d 993, 997 (9th Cir. 1987) ("The district court . . . properly looked beyond the face of the complaint to determine whether the contract claim was in fact a section 301 claim for breach of a collective bargaining agreement 'artfully pleaded' to avoid federal jurisdiction.");

Schroeder v. Trans World Airlines, Inc.,	, 702 F. 2d 189, 191 (9th Cir. 1983) ("Artful pleading by
the plaintiff will not be allowed to conce	eal the true nature of the complaint.").

- Plaintiff alleges that he was wrongfully terminated, and suffered lost wages and 9. employment benefits as a result. (Compl., ¶¶ 16, 80, and 89). In his Seventh Cause of Action, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant's conduct intentionally and negligently caused him emotional distress. (Compl., ¶¶ 77-78 and 86-87). Such claims implicate the CBA as Defendant's defense of these claims is inextricably intertwined with an interpretation of the rights and obligations of the Union and of Plaintiff as set forth in the CBA. Chmiel v. Beverly Wilshire Hotel Co., 873 F.2d 1283 (9th Cir. 1989) (Section 301 preempts intentional infliction of emotional distress claims brought by employee covered by a collective bargaining agreement).
- Plaintiff's bases his Fourth Cause of Action (failure to accommodate) on his 10. allegations that Defendant "failed and refused to change Plaintiff's work schedule" and that certain scheduling changes "require[d] Plaintiff to work the day shift and also a portion of the night shift." (Compl., ¶¶ 13 and 15). Such claims also implicate the CBA as the defense of these claims is inextricably intertwined with an interpretation of the CBA. See Audette, 195 F.3d at 1113.

### **DIVERSITY JURISDICTION**

The Court has original jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. section 11. 1332(a)(1). As set forth below, this action is removable pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. Section 1441(a) as the amount in controversy is in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000), exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different states.

### PLAINTIFF'S CITIZENSHIP

Plaintiff alleges in his Complaint that he "is, and all times herein mentioned was, 12. an individual residing in the County of San Diego." (Compl., ¶ 1). For diversity purposes, a person is a "citizen" of the state in which he is domiciled. Kantor v. Wellesley Galleries, Ltd., 704 F.2d 1088, 1090 (9th Cir. 1983). A party's residence is prima facie evidence of his domicile. State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co. v. Dyer, 19 F.3d 514, 520 (10th Cir. 1994).

LAI 6718076 1

### 

# 

### 

• ,

LAI 6718076.1

### DEFENDANT'S CITIZENSHIP

- Defendant's Citizenship. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c), "a corporation shall be deemed to be a citizen of any State by which it has been incorporated and of the State where it has its principal place of business." Plaintiff alleges that "Allied Waste Services of Chula Vista" was his employer, and that the form of that entity is unknown to Plaintiff. (Compl., ¶ 2). Allied Waste Services of Chula Vista is a dba of Allied Waste Systems, Inc., which is the legal entity that employed Plaintiff. Defendant is now, and at all times since the commencement of this action has been, a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of Arizona.
- 14. **Doe Defendants' Citizenship.** Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1441(a), the residence of fictitious and unknown defendants should be disregarded for purposes of establishing removal jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. section 1332. *Fristoe v. Reynolds Metals Co.*, 615 F. 2d 1209, 1213 (9th Cir. 1980) (unnamed defendants sued as "DOES" are not required to join in a removal position). Thus, the existence of Doe defendants 1 through 20, inclusive, does not deprive this Court of jurisdiction.

### AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY

- 15. While Defendant denies any liability as to Plaintiff's claims, the amount in controversy requirement is satisfied because it is "more likely than not" that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum. Sanchez v. Monumental Life Ins. Co., 102 F.3d 398, 404 (9th Cir. 1996). Here, the damages requested by Plaintiff "more likely than not" exceed \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, as required by 28 U.S.C. section 1332(a).
- 16. **Damages.** Plaintiff's Prayer for Relief seeks recover for compensatory, general, and special damages. (Compl., p. 13, ¶ 1). Plaintiff also seeks emotional distress damages. (Compl., ¶¶ 27, 38, 49, 59, 68, 81, and 90).

26

27

Cas	se 3:08-cv-01473-BEN-AJB	Document 1	Filed 08/13/2008	Page 7 of 36
1	WHEREFORE, Defenda			
2		County of San D	iego to the United State	s District Court for the
3				
4				
5	DATED: August 12, 2008		SEYFARTH SHAW	LLP
6			N. A. A	,
7	·		By Molwel Joshua A. Ro	odine
8			Attorneys for Defend ALLIED WASTES	dant
9			ALLIED WASTE SI	ERVICES OF CHULA named "Allied Waste
10			Services of Chula Vi	sta")
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				:
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	LAL 6718076.1	7		
		NOTICE OF R	EMOVAL	

Exhibit A

FOR COURT USE ONLY

SUM-100

## 1245 PM

### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):
ALLIED WASTE SERVICES OF CHULA VISTA, form of
business entity unknown; and Does 1 through 20,
Inclusive

HULA VISTA, form of O8 JUN 25 AM 8: 32 Nd Does 1 through 20,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):
MARCO ANTONÍO VAZQUEZ, an individual

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtInfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee walver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/seifnelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratultos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitlo web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

he name and address of the court is:
El nombre y dirección de la corte es):
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
000 Third Avenue
Chula Vista, CA 91910
South Bay Judicial District
<del>-</del>

CASE NUMBER 08-00071315-CU-OE-S	3
(Número del Caso):	

South Bay Judicial District	
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:  (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado DOUGLAS E. GEYMAN, ESO. (619) 232–3533 (619) 2	, es): 32-3593
LAW OFFICE OF DOUGLAS E. GEYMAN	
750 B Street, Suite 2635	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 V. LEARNED	<b>5</b>
	, Deputy
(Fecha) JUN 2 5 2008 (Secretario)	(Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)	•
(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).	
NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served	
1. as an individual defendant. 2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):	
2 as the person steed thirder the includes harde or (specify).	
3. on behalf of (specify):	
under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor)	
CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conserv	atee)
CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authoriz	ed person)
other (specify):	
4. by personal delivery on (date):	Page 1 of 1

		South (FI)
2	Douglas E. Geyman (SBN: 159417) LAW OFFICE OF DOUGLAS E. GEYN 750 B Street, Suite 2635 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 232-3533	08 JUN 25 AH 6: 32
4	Attorney for Plaintiff MARCO ANTON	IO VAZQUEZ
5	5	
6		
7		· :
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COUR	RT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SAN D	DIEGO, SOUTH BAY DIVISION
10	MARCO ANTONIO VAZQUEZ, an ) individual	Case No.: 37-2008-00071315-CU-OE-SC
11	Plaintiff,	Case Classification: General Civil
12	V	Complaint for:
13	ALLIED WASTE SERVICES OF	Employment Discrimination - National     Origin;
14	CHULA VISTA, form of business ) entity unknown; and Does 1 through 20,)	Employment Discrimination - Race;
15	Inclusive,	Employment Discrimination - Mental     Employment Discrimination - Mental
16	Defendants.	Disability;
17 18	}	<ol> <li>Employment Discrimination - Failure to Accommodate Disability;</li> </ol>
19	}	5) Employment Discrimination - Harassment;
20	}	6) Failure to Provide Meal Periods:
21	}	7) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress; and
22	<b>\</b>	8) Negligent Infliction of Emotional
23		Distress.
24	COMES NOW Plaintiff MARCO A	ANTONIO VAZQUEZ ("VAZQUEZ" or
25	"Plaintiff"), and for causes of actions again	st Defendants, and each of them, alleges as
26	follows:	
27	<i>IIII</i>	
28	////	
	Cample	aint for Damages
	Compi	1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

### ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- Plaintiff MARCO ANTONIO VAZQUEZ is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an individual residing in the County of San Diego.
- Defendant ALLIED WASTE SERVICES OF CHULA VISTA ("ALLIED" or "Defendant") is, and at all times herein mentioned was an entity, the form of which is unknown, doing business in the County of San Diego.
- 3. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of the defendants designated as DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, and therefore sues such defendants by their fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave of this Court, if required, to amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when the same has been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each and every defendant designated herein as a DOE is in some manner liable or responsible for the acts, occurrences and omissions hereinafter set forth, and the damages proximately caused thereby.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that in acting, or failing to act, as hereinafter set forth, each and every defendant was acting as the agent, servant, employee, principal, master and employer of each remaining co-defendant, within the course and scope of such agency, servitude and employment, and with the express or implied consent, knowledge and ratification of each such remaining co-defendant.
  - 5. Plaintiff's national origin is Mexico.
  - 6. Plaintiff's racial heritage is Mexican.
- 7. At all times herein relevant, Plaintiff was diagnosed and under a doctor's care for an anxiety disorder.
- 8. In or about August of 1989, Plaintiff became employed with ALLIED as a Mechanic. In this position, Plaintiff's supervisor was a person known to Plaintiff as "Rob."
- 9. Over the course of Plaintiff's employment with ALLIED, Plaintiff was repeatedly subjected to harsh, harassing, and disparaging remarks made by Rob related to Plaintiff's national origin and race.

13

8

- Plaintiff was often not allowed to take lunch breaks during his employment 10. with ALLIED.
- The constant barrage of harassing and abusive treatment perpetuated upon Plaintiff while in ALLIED's served to created an increasing hostile work environment.
- 12. In or about August of 1998, Plaintiff was diagnosed and placed under a doctor's care for an anxiety disorder. As part of the prescribed treatment for Plaintiff's anxiety disorder, Plaintiff's doctor's requested that his work schedule be changed from the night shift to the day shift to better facilitate Plaintiff's treatment and recovery.
- 13. In or about June of 2004, Plaintiff's doctor provided Plaintiff's with a letter directed to Robert Hustler ("Hustler"), ALLIED's manager, advising ALLIED that Plaintiff's was under a doctor's care for anxiety disorder, and requesting that Plaintiff work schedule be changed to the day shift to reduce the negative impact working nights had on Plaintiff's recovery. Plaintiff was otherwise willing and able to perform his normal job duties. Hustler failed and refused to changed Plaintiff's work schedule.
- 14. In or about October of 2005, Plaintiff's doctor provided Plaintiff with a second letter directed to Hustler, advising ALLIED that Plaintiff was under a doctor's care for severe anxiety disorder, and requesting that Plaintiff be allowed to work the day shift to facilitate the treatment of Plaintiff's condition and for safety purposes.
- 15. On or about November 3, 2005, Hustler called Plaintiff into his office and advised Plaintiff that certain adjustments would be made to his work schedule effective on or about November 7, 2005, however, the changes would now require Plaintiff to work the day shift and also a portion of the night shift. When Plaintiff protested these changes and reminded Hustler that ALLIED had failed to make changes to Plaintiff's work schedule when first requested almost a year and a half earlier, Hustler became upset. Hustler then told Plaintiff "I don't give a shit about your doctor's letter or your seniority." Hustler also told Plaintiff that he would do whatever he wanted to because he was the boss."

////.

. 1	
.1	tı
3	A
4	·   -,
. 5	di
6	(1
7	
. 8	cl
9	E
10	("
11	Pl
12	th
13	se
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	as
19	
20	
21	sub
22	
23	.pla

25

26

27

28

16.	In or about May of	2006, Plaintif	f was for	ced to ta	ke time of	f from v	vork for
treatment of h	is mental disability.	Shortly therea	after, on o	r about	November	30, 20	06,
ALLIED term	inated Plaintiff's em	olovment.	1.		:		,

- Plaintiff believes, and thereon alleges, that ALLIED was motivated to 17. iscriminate against him, and to harass him, because of his national origin (Mexico), race Mexican), mental disability (anxiety disorder).
- 18. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative requirements by timely filing harges against Defendants, and each of them, with the California Department of mployment and Fair Housing ("DFEH"), pursuant to the Fair Employment and Housing Act 'FEHA") as codified at California Government Code ("Cal. Gov. Code") § 12940, et seq. aintiff has been issued a "Right To Sue Letter", and timely files this action. Plaintiff, erefore, respectfully requests relief and judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as t forth below.

II.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

### **Employment Discrimination - National Origin**

- 19. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 18 of this Complaint though fully set forth herein.
  - 20. Plaintiff's national origin is Mexico.
- 21. During the course of Plaintiff's employment with ALLIED, Plaintiff was pjected to employment discrimination based on his national origin.
- 22. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that his national origin yed a role in Defendants', and each of their, willingness to discriminate against him.
- 23. Plaintiff's claims of employment discrimination based on national origin are supported by the allegations set forth herein.
- 24. ALLIED knew or should have known about the discrimination. Plaintiff advised ALLIED of the discriminatory behavior and actions on numerous occasions, but to no avail.

25.	ALLIED's conduct as set forth	n herein constitutes unlaw	ful discrimination	1:
based on Plair	ntiff's national origin in violatio	n of FEHA, Government	Code §§ 12940, e	et.
seq.		3 - 1 - 1 2 2	<i>r</i> *	

- As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incident financial losses, including without limitation, loss of employment, and loss of employment-related opportunities for growth and benefits, all in an amount according to proof at time of trial.
- 27. As a result of Defendants, and each of their, discriminatory actions, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages, including emotional and/or physical distress, in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 28. The acts of Defendants, and each of them, were done with malice, fraud and oppression, and with conscious disregard for the Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent to injure Plaintiff. Defendants', and each of their, actions entitles Plaintiff to punitive and exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future actions of Defendants and others.
- 29. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant engaged in other actionable conduct not enumerated in this Complaint. Plaintiff will, therefore, seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege the specific acts when the same becomes known to him, or to conform to proof thereof at trial.

III.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Employment Discrimination - Race**

- 30. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 29of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
  - 31. Plaintiff's racial heritage is Mexican.
- 32. During the course of Plaintiff's employment with ALLIED, Plaintiff was subjected to employment discrimination based on his race.

28 ////

11	
33.	Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that his race played a party
role in Defer	ndants', and each of their, willingness to discriminate against him.
34.	Plaintiff's claims of employment discrimination based on race are supported
by the allega	tions set forth herein.
35.	ALLIED knew or should have known about the discrimination. Plaintiff
advised ALL	JED of the discriminatory behavior and actions on numerous occasions, but to
no avail.	
36.	ALLIED's conduct as set forth herein constitutes unlawful discrimination
based on Plai	intiff's race in violation of FEHA, Government Code §§ 12940, et seq.
37.	As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them,

benefits, all in an amount according to proof at time of trial.

As a result of Defendants, and each of their, discriminatory actions, Plaintiff 38. has suffered and continues to suffer damages, including emotional and/or physical distress, in an amount according to proof at trial.

Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incident financial losses, including without

limitation, loss of employment, and loss of employment-related opportunities for growth and

- 39. The acts of Defendants, and each of them, were done with malice, fraud and oppression, and with conscious disregard for the Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent to injure Plaintiff. Defendants', and each of their, actions entitles Plaintiff to punitive and exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future actions of Defendants and others.
- 40. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant engaged in other actionable conduct not enumerated in this Complaint. Plaintiff will, therefore, seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege the specific acts when the same becomes known to him, or to conform to proof thereof at trial.

26 //// 27 ////

: 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

28 ////

### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

3 4

### **Employment Discrimination - Mental Disability**

5

41. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 40 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

6

At all times herein relevant, Plaintiff was diagnosed with and under a doctor care for anxiety disorder, a mental disability.

8 9

43. During the course of Plaintiff's employment with ALLIED, Plaintiff was subjected to employment discrimination based on his mental disability.

10 11

Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that his mental disability 44. played a role in Defendants', and each of their, willingness to discriminate against him.

12 13

45. Plaintiff's claims of employment discrimination based on mental disability are supported by the allegations set forth herein.

14

ALLIED knew or should have known about the discrimination. Plaintiff advised ALLIED of the discriminatory behavior and actions on numerous occasions, but to no avail.

16

17

15

47. ALLIED's conduct as set forth herein constitutes unlawful discrimination based on Plaintiff's mental disability in violation of FEHA, Government Code §§ 12940, et seq.

18 19

20

21

48. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incident financial losses, including without limitation, loss of employment, and loss of employment-related opportunities for growth and benefits, all in an amount according to proof at time of trial.

22 23

> 49. As a result of Defendants, and each of their, discriminatory actions, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages, including emotional and/or physical distress, in an amount according to proof at trial.

25 26

24

50. The acts of Defendants, and each of them, were done with malice, fraud and oppression, and with conscious disregard for the Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent to

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

injure Plaintiff. Defendants', and each of their, actions entitles Plainting	ff to punitive and
exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future	actions of Defendants
and others.	, i se per en
51. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon allege	s, that Defendant
engaged in other actionable conduct not enumerated in this Complaint.	Plaintiff will,
therefore, seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege the sp	ecific acts when the
same becomes known to him, or to conform to proof thereof at trial.	
<b>v.</b>	
FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
Employment Discrimination - Failure to Accommodate	Disability
52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through	51 of this Complaint

### <u>'ION</u>

### ommodate Disability

- s 1 through 51 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- In or about August 1998, Plaintiff was diagnosed with and placed under a 53. doctor's care for anxiety disorder.
- Plaintiff provided ALLIED with written documentation advising of his 54. disability and his physician's order to change his work schedule from the night shift to the day shift.
- At all times herein alleged, Plaintiff was qualified to perform his work duties 55. with reasonable accommodations.
- ALLIED failed to adopt available measures that were necessary to 56. accommodate Plaintiff's disability, as alleged herein.
- Plaintiff's failure to accommodate claims are supported by Defendants', and 57. each of their, conduct as alleged herein.
- As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, 58. Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incident financial losses, including without limitation, loss of employment, and loss of employment-related opportunities for growth and benefits, all in an amount according to proof at time of trial.

28 ////

////

27 ////

1	59. As a result of Defendants, and each of their, discriminatory actions, Plaintiff	٠.
2	has suffered and continues to suffer damages, including emotional and/or physical distress, in	
3	an amount according to proof at trial.	
4	60. The acts of Defendants, and each of them, were done with malice, fraud and	
.5	oppression, and with conscious disregard for the Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent to	
6	injure Plaintiff. Defendants', and each of their, actions entitles Plaintiff to punitive and	;
·7	exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future actions of Defendants	
.8	and others.	
9	61. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant	
10	engaged in other actionable conduct not enumerated in this Complaint. Plaintiff will,	
11	therefore, seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege the specific acts when the	
12	same becomes known to him, or to conform to proof thereof at trial.	
13	·VI.	
14	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
14 15	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION  Employment Discrimination - Harassment	
15	Employment Discrimination - Harassment	
15 16 17	Employment Discrimination - Harassment  62. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 61 of this Complaint	
15 16 17 18	Employment Discrimination - Harassment  62. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 61 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.	
15 16 17 18 19	Employment Discrimination - Harassment  62. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 61 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.  63. During the course of Plaintiff's employment with ALLIED, Plaintiff was	
15 16	Employment Discrimination - Harassment  62. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 61 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.  63. During the course of Plaintiff's employment with ALLIED, Plaintiff was subjected to continual and pervasive harassment. The harassment was sufficiently pervasive	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Employment Discrimination - Harassment  62. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 61 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.  63. During the course of Plaintiff's employment with ALLIED, Plaintiff was subjected to continual and pervasive harassment. The harassment was sufficiently pervasive as to alter the conditions of Plaintiff's employment and create an abusive working	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Employment Discrimination - Harassment  62. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 61 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.  63. During the course of Plaintiff's employment with ALLIED, Plaintiff was subjected to continual and pervasive harassment. The harassment was sufficiently pervasive as to alter the conditions of Plaintiff's employment and create an abusive working environment.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Employment Discrimination - Harassment  62. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 61 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.  63. During the course of Plaintiff's employment with ALLIED, Plaintiff was subjected to continual and pervasive harassment. The harassment was sufficiently pervasive as to alter the conditions of Plaintiff's employment and create an abusive working environment.  64. ALLIED knew or should have known about the harassment. Plaintiff advised	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	Employment Discrimination - Harassment  62. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 61 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.  63. During the course of Plaintiff's employment with ALLIED, Plaintiff was subjected to continual and pervasive harassment. The harassment was sufficiently pervasive as to alter the conditions of Plaintiff's employment and create an abusive working environment.  64. ALLIED knew or should have known about the harassment. Plaintiff advised ALLIED of the abusive and harassing behavior on numerous occasions, but to no avail.	

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

. 23 24

> 25 26

27 28

- 66. Plaintiff's claim of employment discrimination based on harassment is supported by the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as described herein.
- 67. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incident financial losses, including without limitation, loss of employment, and loss of employment-related opportunities for growth and benefits, all in an amount according to proof at time of trial.
- 68. As a result of Defendants, and each of their, discriminatory actions, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages, including emotional and/or physical distress, in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 69. The acts of Defendants, and each of them, were done with malice, fraud and oppression, and with conscious disregard for the Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent to injure Plaintiff. Defendants', and each of their, actions entitles Plaintiff to punitive and exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future actions of Defendants and others.
- 70. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant engaged in other actionable conduct not enumerated in this Complaint. Plaintiff will, therefore, seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege the specific acts when the same becomes known to him, or to conform to proof thereof at trial.

VII.

### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Failure to Provide Rest Periods

- 71. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 70 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 72. California Labor Code § 226.7 states that no employer shall require any employee to work during any meal or rest period mandated by an applicable order of the Industrial Welfare Commission ("IWC"). IWC Wage Order No. 4, as codified at California Code of Regulations, Title 8, § 11040, et seq., required that Defendant allow Plaintiff to have one meal periods during each work day.

	.
1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	í
13	
14	a
15	
16	d
17	
18	P
19	
20	aı
21	
- 1	th
22	
23	ΡI

25

26

27

28

73. Def	fendant ALLII	ED did no	t allow or req	uire Plaint	iff to take me	al periods as
required by Califor	mia law.	<i>:</i> : •			in the second se	

Plaintiff is entitled to recover one additional hour of pay at her regular rate of 74: compensation for each day Defendant failed to provide him with meal periods during the workday. Plaintiff is also entitled to his costs and reasonable attorney's fees, according to proof, and to interest on all due and unpaid wages at the rate of interest specified in California Civil Code § 3289(b).

#### VIII.

### SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

- 75. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 74 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 76. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as set forth herein, was extreme and outrageous to a degree as to be outside the bounds of decency in a civilized society.
- 77. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, and was done in reckless lisregard and with the intention to cause emotional distress in Plaintiff.
- As a result of Defendants', and each of their, extreme and outrageous conduct, 78. laintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer severe emotional distress and mental anguish.
- 79. The severe emotional distress and mental anguish that Plaintiff has suffered, nd continues to suffer, was actually and proximately caused by Defendants', and each of eir, extreme and outrageous conduct as set forth herein.
- 80. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incident financial losses, including without limitation, loss of employment, and loss of employment-related opportunities for growth and benefits, all in an amount according to proof at time of trial.
- 81. As a result of Defendants, and each of their, discriminatory actions, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages, including emotional and/or physical distress, in

1. an amount according to proof at trial.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- The acts of Defendants, and each of them, were done with malice, fraud and 82. oppression, and with conscious disregard for the Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent to injure Plaintiff. Defendants', and each of their, actions entitles Plaintiff to punitive and exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future actions of Defendants and others.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant 83. engaged in other actionable conduct not enumerated in this Complaint. Plaintiff will, therefore, seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege the specific acts when the same becomes known to him, or to conform to proof thereof at trial.

### IX.

#### EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### **Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress**

- Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 83 of this Complaint 84. as though fully set forth herein.
- 85. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as set forth herein, was extreme and outrageous to a degree as to be outside the bounds of decency in a civilized society.
- The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, and was done in negligent and 86. reckless disregard of the emotional distress caused in Plaintiff.
- As a result of Defendants', and each of their, extreme and outrageous conduct, 87. Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer severe emotional distress and mental anguish.
- The severe emotional distress and mental anguish that Plaintiff has suffered, 88. and continues to suffer, was actually and proximately caused by Defendants', and each of their, extreme and outrageous conduct as set forth herein.
- As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, 89. Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incident financial losses, including without limitation, loss of employment, and loss of employment-related opportunities for growth and

Filed 08/13/2008

benefits, all	in an amount according to proof at time of trial.
90.	As a result of Defendants, and each of their, discriminatory actions, Plaintiff
has suffered	and continues to suffer damages, including emotional and/or physical distress, in
an amount a	ccording to proof at trial.
91.	The acts of Defendants, and each of them, were done with malice, fraud and
oppression,	and with conscious disregard for the Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent to
injure Plaint	iff. Defendants', and each of their, actions entitles Plaintiff to punitive and
exemplary d	amages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future actions of Defendants
and others.	
92.	Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant
engaged in c	other actionable conduct not enumerated in this Complaint. Plaintiff will,
therefore, se	ek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege the specific acts when the
same becom	es known to him, or to conform to proof thereof at trial.
WHE	EREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests relief and judgment against
Defendants,	and each of them, as follows:
1.	For compensatory, special and general damages according to proof at trial;
2.	For punitive damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future
	wrongful acts by Defendants and others, and in the Court's discretion;
3.	For attorneys fees and costs, according to proof at trial; and
5.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
May <u>37</u> , 200	8 THE LAW OFFICE OF DOUGLAS E. GEYMAN
	Ву:
	DOUGLAS E. GEYMAN
	Attorney for Plaintiff MARCO ANTONIO VAZQUEZ

## OCOPY

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP Lorraine H. O'Hara (State Bar No. 170153) Joshua A. Rodine (State Bar No. 237774) 2 702 AUG 12 PH 2: 25 2029 Century Park East, Suite 3300 Los Angeles, California 90067-3063 3 CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT SAN DIEGO COUNTY. CA Telephone: (310) 277-7200 4 Facsimile: (310) 201-5219 5 Attorneys for Defendant ALLIED WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. dba ALLIED WASTE SERVICES OF CHULA VISTA (choocously named "Allied Waste Services of Chula Vista") 6 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO - SOUTHERN 11 MARCO ANTONIO VAZQUEZ, an Case No. 37-2008-00071315-CU-OE-SC individual; 12 ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S PlaintilT, UNVERIFIED COMPLAINT 13 Judge: William S. Cannon 14 Dept./Place: \$-04 ALLIED WASTE SERVICES OF CHULA 15 VISTA, a form of business entity unknown; and ) DOES I through 20, Inclusive, Complaint Filed: June 25, 2008 16 Complaint Served: July 15, 2008 Defendants. 17 18 Defendant Allied Waste Systems, Inc. dba Allied Waste Services of Chula Vista 19 ("Defendant") answers the unverified Complaint ("Complaint") of Plaintiff Marco Antonio 20 Vazquez ("Plaintiff") as follows: 21 GENERAL DENIAL 22 Pursuant to the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure § 431.30, Defendant 23 denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation, statement, matter and each 24 purported cause of action contained in Plaintiff's Complaint and without limiting the generality 25 of the foregoing, deny generally and specifically that Plaintiff has been damaged in the manner 26 or sums alleged, or any way at all, by reason of any acts or omissions of Defendant. 27 28 ANSWER 1,A1 6717827.2

5

6

7

8

9

10

 $\Pi$ 

13

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LAI 6717827.1

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 2 In further answer to Plaintiff's Complaint and as separate and distinct affirmative 3 defenses, Defendant alleges as follows: AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. I
(Failure to State a Claim for Relief – As to All Causes of Action) Neither the Complaint as a whole, nor any purported cause of action alleged therein, states facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action or claim for relief against Defendant. (Statute of Limitations – As to All Causes of Action) Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent that any are brought outside the 2. applicable limitations periods. <u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 3</u> (Estoppel As to All Causes of Action) Plaintiff's claims, in whole or in part, are barred by the doctrine of estoppel. 3. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 4 (Laches - As to All Causes of Action) Plaintiff's claims, in whole or in part, are barred by the doctrine of luches. 4. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 5 (Waiver As to All Causes of Action) Plaintiff's claims, in whole or in part, are barred by the doctrine of waiver. 5. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 6 (Unclean Hands - As to All Causes of Action) Plaintiff's claims for relief are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of 6. unclean hands. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 7
(After Acquired Evidence - As to All Causes of Action) Plaintiff's claims are barred, and/or his damages should be reduced, to the extent 7. he engaged in any fraud which induced Defendant enter into any employment relationship with Plaintiff or engaged in any misconduct of which Defendant is unaware and which would provide independent legal cause for termination of employment.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 8 (Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies - As to Plaintiff's First, Second, Third, Fourth, and 2 Fifth Causes of Action) To the extent that Plaintiff makes allegations or claims which were not included 8. 3 in a timely Complaint with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, the Court lacks 4 jurisdiction with respect to any such allegations or claims. Cal. Gov't Code §12900, et. seq. 5 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 9 6 (Scope of Authority – As to All Causes of Action) 7 9. The Complaint, and each of and every purported cause of action therein, is barred 8 as against Defendant to the extent that the actions of Defendant's agents, employees, and ŋ representatives, if they occurred, were not actions taken within the course and scope of their 10 employment. $\mathbf{H}$ AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 10 (Failure to Mitigate - As to All Causes of Action) 12 10. To the extent that Plaintiff suffered any damages as a result of the facts alleged in 13 his Complaint, which Defendant denies. Plaintiff is not entitled to recover the amount of 14 damages alleged or any damages due to his failure to make reasonable efforts to mitigate or 15 minimize the damages incurred. 16 17 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 11 (Workers' Compensation Preemption - As to Plaintiff's First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, 18 Seventh, and Eighth Causes of Action) 11. This Court lacks jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claim for damages and mental and/or 19 emotional and/or physical injuries arising out of Plaintiff's employment, in that the exclusive 20 remedy for such claims is provided by the California Workers' Compensation Act, California 21 Labor Code Sections 3200, et. seg. 33 23 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 12 (Punitive Damages - As to Plaintiff's First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Seventh, and Eighth 24 Causes of Action) 12. The Complaint, and each of the causes of action therein, fails to state facts 25 sufficient to entitle Plaintiff to an award of punitive damages. 26 27 28 LAT 0717827.2 ANSWER

ļ	Management	Relations Act of 1947 (29 U	F.S.C. § 141 et seq.), which preemptively governs any			
2	and all of Plaintiff's purported claims under the agreement, and bars the claims asserted in his					
3	Complaint.	aint.				
4	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 19 (Failure to Exhaust Union Remedies – As to All Causes of Action)					
5	19.		d in that Plaintiff failed to exhaust the remedies			
6	(grievance an	d arbitration) as provided by	the collective bargaining agreement between			
7	Defendant an	d the labor organization that	represents the collective bargaining unit of which			
8	Plaintiff is a r	member.				
Ŋ			PRAYER			
10	WIIE	REFORE, Defendant prays I	for judgment as follows:			
]	1.	That Plaintiff take nothing	by his Complaint;			
12	2.	That judgment be entered i	in favor of Defendant and against Plaintiff on all causes			
13	of action;					
14	3.	That Defendant be awarded	d reasonable attorney's fees according to proof;			
15	4,	That Defendant be awarded	d the costs of suit incurred herein; and			
16	5.	That Defendant be awarded	d such other and further relief as the Court may deem			
17	appropriate.					
18 19	DATED: Aug	gust 12, 2008	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP			
20)						
21			By Joshua A. Rodine			
22			Attorneys for Defendant ALLIED WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. dba			
23			ALLIED WASTE SERVICES OF CHULA VISTA (erroneously named "Allied Waste Services of Chula Vista")			
24			Services of Chuia Vista )			
25						
26						
27		·				
28						
	LA1 0717827.2		5 ANSWER			
	1					

ı		PROOF OF SERVICE
2	STATE (	OF CALIFORNIA )
3	COUNT	) ss Y OF LOS ANGELES )
4	I	am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party
5	3300, Lo	thin action. My business address is Seyfarth Shaw LLP, 2029 Century Park East, Suite is Angeles, California 90067-3063. On August 12, 2008, I served the within documents: Inswer to Plaintiff's Unverified Complaint
6 7 8 9		I sent such document from facsimile machine (310) 201-5219 on August 12, 2008. I certify that said transmission was completed and that all pages were received and that a report was generated by facsimile machine (310) 201-5219 which confirms said transmission and receipt. I, thereafter, mailed a copy to the interested party(ies) in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed to the parties listed below.
10 11	X	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below.
12 13		by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
14 15		by placing the document(s) listed above, together with an unsigned copy of this declaration, in a scaled Federal Express envelope with postage paid on account and deposited with Federal Express at Los Angeles, California, addressed as set forth below.
16 17 18		by placing the document(s) listed above, together with an unsigned copy of this declaration, in a sealed Overnite Express envelope with postage paid on account and deposited with Overnite Express at Los Angeles, California, addressed as set forth below.
19		by transmitting the document(s) listed above, electronically, via the e-mail addresses set forth below.
20 21		electronically by using the Court's ECF/CM System.
22 23 24 25	L 7. S T	Douglas E. Geyman, Esq.  Jaw Office of Douglas E. Geyman  So B Street, Suite 2635  an Diego, California 92101  Fel: (619) 232-3533  ax: (619) 232-3593
26 27 28	for mailing day with motion o	am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence ng. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on f the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage te is more than on day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
	1 1 1 2 7 1 0 5 0 .	

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court whose direction the service was made.

Executed on August 12, 2008, at Los Angeles, Califognia.

Jodi Sryder

-2-

LAI 6718591.

1		PROOF OF SERVICE					
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )						
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ) ss						
4	I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a						
5	to the within action. My business address is Seyfarth Shaw LLP, 2029 Century Park East, 3300, Los Angeles, California 90067-3063. On August 13, 2008, I served the within document Notice of Removal						
6	<b> </b>	I sent such document from facsimile machine (310) 201-5219 on August 12, 2008. I					
7		certify that said transmission was completed and that all pages were received and that a report was generated by facsimile machine (310) 201-5219 which confirms said					
8 9		transmission and receipt. I, thereafter, mailed a copy to the interested party(ies) in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed to the parties listed below.					
10		by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon					
11		fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below.					
12	<b>—</b>	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the					
13		address(es) set forth below.					
14	m	by placing the document(s) listed above, together with an unsigned copy of this declaration, in a sealed Federal Express envelope with postage paid on account and					
15		deposited with Federal Express at Los Angeles, California, addressed as set forth below.					
16 17 18		by placing the document(s) listed above, together with an unsigned copy of this declaration, in a sealed Overnite Express envelope with postage paid on account and deposited with Overnite Express at Los Angeles, California, addressed as set forth below.					
19		by transmitting the document(s) listed above, electronically, via the e-mail addresses set forth below.					
20   21		electronically by using the Court's ECF/CM System.					
22							
23	Douglas E. Geyman, Esq. Law Office of Douglas E. Geyman						
24	750 B Street, Suite 2635 San Diego, California 92101						
25		Tel: (619) 232-3533 Fax: (619) 232-3593					
26		I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence					
27	for mail	ling. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same h postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on					
28	motion	of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage ate is more than on day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.					

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court whose direction the service was made.

Executed on August 13, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

Odi Muck Jodi Snyder



%JS 44 | 1Rev. 12/07)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The IS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleasures or other papers as required by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FDRM.)

					1.0
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDAN	08 AUG 13 AM 11:	4Z
Marco Antonio Vazque:	Z		Affied Waste	Systems, Inc. dba Allied	d Waste Services of
,			Chula Vistan	ERK US DISTER CAL	FORMA
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff S	San Diego	County of Res SA	DALERN DISTRICT OF	
	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		County of Resident	ERK US DISTRICT OF CALL SHERN DISTRICT OF CALL SOFTISS Listed Defendant INO.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY
					SETHE LOCATION OF THE
			BX	TIMPOLATION -	
/ <b>)</b>				/ U8 CV	1473 WQH AJE
•	e, Address, and Telephone Numb	•	Attorneys (If Know)	) //	^ ▼ / ク WUH AJE
Douglas E. Geyman, La			Lorraine H. O'h	lara, Joshua A. Rodine	, Seyfarth Shaw LLP
750 B. St, Suite 2635, S			3533   2029 Century J	Pk E, #3300, L.A. CA 90	0067 Tel: (310) 277-7200
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
7 1 U.S. Government	28 3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Duly		and One Box for Defendant)
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Nor a Party1	Citizen of This State	TTF DEF	PTF DEF
				of Business In Th	
D 2 U.S. Government	O 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	D 2 D 2 Incorporated and	Principal Place D 5 78 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Hem HD		of Business In	
		,	Citizen or Subject of a	O 3 O 3 Foreign Nation	១៤៣6
<del></del>			Foreign Country	C. J. C. S. Votolga venton	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" jii One Box O	nly)			
CONTRACT	10		<del></del>		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY  © 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR  362 Personal Injury -		(I 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	10 400 State Reapportionment
(7) 130 Miller Act	O 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice		CF 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	10 410 Antitrust 10 430 Banks and Banking
140 Negoriable Instrument	Linbility	O 365 Personal Injury -			D 450 Commerce
150 Recovery of Overpayment		Product Liability		PROPERTY RIGHTS	
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  330 Federal Employers'	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product	of 640 R.R. & Truck  650 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	13 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability	660 Occupational	© 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations  3 480 Consumer Credit
Student Luans	D 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPER	TY Safety/Health		(7) 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)  (Excl. Veterans)  (Excl. Veterans)	(7) 345 Marine Product Liability	370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other	60.00	810 Selective Service
of Veieran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	☐ 710 Fuir Labor Standards	D 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
(2) 160 Stockholders' Suits	CJ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage		© 862 Black Lung (923)	3 875 Customer Challenge
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	385 Property Damage		© 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Lightliny ☐ 196 Franchise	D 360 Other Personal	Product Liability	© 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405fg))	890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
210 Land Condemnation	D 44! Voting	510 Motions to Vacati	e 790 Other Labor Litigation	id 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	893 Environmental Matters
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rem Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment     443 Housing)	Sentence	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act
☐ 240 Tons to Land	Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	26 USC 7609	(2) 895 Freedom of Information Act
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welline	D 535 Death Penalty	PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE		O 900Appeal of Fee Determination
🗇 200 All Other Real Property		540 Mandamus & Orb		On Con	Under Equal Access
	Employment  446 Amer, @/Disabitities -	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	(1) 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee		to Instice
	Other	Condition Condition	O 465 Other Immigration		© 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	☐ 440 Diher Civit Rights		Actions		San
····	<u> </u>		L		<u> </u>
	an "X" in Due Box Only)		415		Appeal to District
		Remanded from   Appellate Court	y 4 Kemalaled Or (1) 3	nsferred from 6 Mullidisu	
Troccoming 30			Ketipened (spe	cify) Lingation	Judgment
	28 U.S.C. Secti	ons 1331 and 13	Siling (Do not elle jurisdiction	mal statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of ca				<u>.</u>
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.			JURY DEMAND:	
VIII. RELATED CAS	F(S)	<del></del>			
IF ANY	(See instructions):	HIDCE		DAOVED III WAS	
IF AN I		JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD		
4 17 00	•	MINA .1	1 ·		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		10111000			
	1				
RECEIPT# 153973 A	MOUNT 4350	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JLE	DGE
	11/2		30701.	MAG. 7L's	
<b>⟨</b> ⟩	kc 8/13/08				
* /	, ,				

1		PROOF OF SERVICE						
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	(						
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGI	ELES ) ss	<i>,</i>	·				
4 5	to the within action. My b 3300, Los Angeles, Califo Civil Cover Sheet	ousiness address is Sev	, over the age of eighteen y yfarth Shaw LLP, 2029 Cer August 13, 2008, I served	ntury Park Fact Spita				
6 7 8 9	I sent such docum certify that said tra a report was gener transmission and r	rated by facsimile mad receipt. I, thereafter, r a true copy thereof en-	achine (310) 201-5219 on A leted and that all pages wer chine (310) 201-5219 which mailed a copy to the interest closed in sealed envelope(s	re received and that th confirms said sted party(ies) in this				
10 11	by placing the doc fully prepaid, in the forth below.	cument(s) listed above ne United States mail a	in a sealed envelope with at Los Angeles, California	postage thereon addressed as set				
12 13	by personally delivation address(es) set for	vering the document(s th below.	s) listed above to the person	n(s) at the				
14 15	declaration, in a se	ealed Federal Express	, together with an unsigned envelope with postage paic angeles, California, address	d on account and				
16 17 18	declaration, in a se	ealed Overnite Express	, together with an unsigned s envelope with postage pai Angeles, California, addres	id on account and				
19 20	by transmitting the set forth below.	document(s) listed ab	pove, electronically, via the	e-mail addresses				
21	electronically by us	sing the Court's ECF/	CM System.					
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Douglas E. Geyman Law Office of Doug 750 B Street, Suite 2 San Diego, Californ Tel: (619) 232-3533 Fax: (619) 232-3593	glas Ė. Geyman 2635 iia 92101						
26								
27 28	for mailing. Under that practically with postage thereon ful motion of the party served, someter date is more than on definition.	elly prepaid in the ording service is presumed in	nary course of business. I a	Service on that same am aware that on				
	LA1 6718591.1							

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court whose direction the service was made.

Executed on August 13, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

-2-

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

# 153973 - TC

August 13, 2008 11:43:12

### Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV1473

Judge..: WILLIAM Q HAYES

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC23523

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: MARCO ANTONIO VAZQUEZ

ALLIED WASTE SYSTEMS